

## UNITED STATE'S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST N	AMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/051,313 04/23/93 TAKEMURA		Y 0756-864 EXAMINER
E5M1/0 SIXBEY, FRIEDMAN, LEEDOM & FERGUS 2010 CORPORATE RIDGE, STE. 600 MCLEAN, VA 22102	1612 SON	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  2515 AAILED:
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your applica COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	ion. ¡Ce	2) mu Due: 9/12/96
This application has been examined Responsive to con A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to explication to respond within the period for response will cause the applicant I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS	cation to become abandoned. 35 L	This action is made final.  days from the date of this letter.  J.S.C. 133
1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-147	2. Notice of Dra 4. Notice of Info	ftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. rmal Patent Application, PTO-152.
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION  1. Claims /-3,5-8 (I) d 2  Of the above, claims 6-8	1-34	are pending in the application.
2. Claims		have been cancelled.
3. Claims		are allowed.
4. $\times$ claims $1-3$ , $5$ (10d $41-6$	34	are rejected.
5, Claims		
6. Claims	are subject	et to restriction or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.		
8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action	on.	
The corrected or substitute drawings have been received or are acceptable; and acceptable (see explanation or N		Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings g Review, PTO-948).
<ol> <li>The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, examiner; ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation)</li> </ol>	filed on has (f n).	nave) been
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed	, has been	disapproved (see explanation).
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on		
13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allows accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 0	nce except for formal matters, pros C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to the merits is closed in
14. Other .		
	DE G	E D V E DAG SECOND

**EXAMINER'S ACTION** 

Serial Number: 051,313

Art Unit: 2515

The indicated allowability of claims 1-3 and 21 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered prior art to Yamazaki (U.S. 5,463,483. The delay in citation of this art is regretted. Rejections based on the newly discovered prior art follow.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-3, 21,23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$ 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamazaki '483.

Note in Fig. 2 (c) the capacitance ( $c_1$  or  $C_2$ ) between the pixel electrode ( $C_{LC}$ ) and the gate line ( $X_n$  or  $X_n$ ) and the capacitance ( $C_1$  or  $C_2$ ) between the pixel electrode and the wiring ( $X_n$  or  $X_n$ ) being the same as each other intentionally.

Claims 5 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamazake '483.

Note the attached sketch of Fig. 3(A) which identically discloses the claimed electro-optical device.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Morozumi '395.

Serial Number: 051,313

Art Unit: 2515

Note in Fig. 6 the pixel electrode (41) superposed on the gate line (36) with a first insulator therebetween and superposed on the wiring (37) with a second insulator therebetween wherein a capacitance comprising the gate line (36), the pixel electrode (41) and the first insulator is provided "intentionally".

With respect to applicant's remarks regarding the term

"intentionally", it is unclear whether there is a <u>structural</u>

difference between the capacitance being "intentionally" provided and that of "intentionally" provided. In addition, how one can determine that a certain capacitance is "intentionally" provided or "unintentionally" provided.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Tai Duong at telephone number (703) 308-4873.

May 21, 1996

Doung/cm

WILLIAM L. SIKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2500